

United States Patent and Trademark Office

لهج.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/643,264	08/19/2003	Ravi Ramaswami	10981988-3	4670	
	75	590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
		ACKARD COMPANY	MOUTTET, BLAISE L			
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		O 80527-2400		2853		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•,.		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Office Action Summary		10/643,26	10/643,264 RAI		AMASWAMI ET AL.		
		Examin r		Art Unit			
		Blaise L M		2853	R		
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the	cov r sheet with th	correspond nce ac	ldr ss		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication od for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the communication of the provision of the provi	DN. R 1.136(a). In no evel n. a reply within the statu riod will apply and will tatute; cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin lory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	<u> 7 June 2004</u> .					
2a) 🗌 Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠∃	This action is no	on-final.				
3)∏ Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
clo	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
							6)⊠ CI
7)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>2-4,6 and 8</u> is/are objected to.						
8)∏ CI	aim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	quirement.				
Application	Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d							
11) Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) □ /	<u> </u>	,		, (-, -, (,,			
		nents have beer	received.				
2.[Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have beer	received in Applicati	on No			
3.[Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule	: 17.2(a)).				
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Informati	or Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB o(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/643,264

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugitani et al. US 4,558,333.

Sugitani et al. discloses a method of making a part of a droplet plate, which part mounts to a substrate (1) that carries a heat transducer (2) and defines both a firing chamber to surround the transducer (2) and a nozzle (6P) through which liquid in the chamber may pass from the chamber (figure 7B), the method comprising the steps of:

forming the part from a single dielectric material (dry film photoresist) by depositing a first layer (3) of the dielectric material (figure 2B, column 1, lines 1-13);

shaping the firing chamber in the first layer (figures 3 and 4, column 4, lines 14-53);

depositing a second layer (5) of the single type (dry film photoresist) of dielectric material (figure 5, column 4, lines 54-68); and

making the nozzle in the second layer (figure 6, column 5, lines 10-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,264

Art Unit: 2853

Sugitani et al. discloses, regarding claim 7, simultaneously exposing the first and second layers (204, 210) by a solvent (column 5, lines 29-43).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2-4, 6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Upon review of the argument's presented by applicant on May 27, 2004 regarding the 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 2-4, 6 and 8 the examiner agrees that the combination would not have been obvious at the time of the invention in view of the applied prior art. Specifically while Sugitani et al. provides a solution of the sagging problem for photoresist material deposited by pressure adhesion (i.e. reducing the applied pressure) the presented solution is incompatible with a PECVD deposition method or with the cited materials as the dielectric material.

The rejection of claim 7 was inadvertently included in the 35 USC 103 rejection in the prior office action however the rejection of claim 7 is presently rejected solely based on Sugitani et al.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant has argued, regarding claims 1 and 5, that the applied prior art has failed to meet the limitation of depositing a second layer of the first

Art Unit: 2853

dielectric material as presented in the pending claims because lamination as described by the applied art is different from deposition. The examiner disagrees because lamination is defined as the deposition of thin films or layers (see dictionary) and thus the scope of deposition would include lamination. The examiner notes that "depositing" as used in the claims is seen to be of the same scope of "placing" or "applying" since this is the ordinary definition as used by one of ordinary skill in the art and applicant's specification does not exclude this interpretation.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Blaise Mouttet who may be reached at telephone number (571) 272-2150. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, Art Unit 2853, can be reached at (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0956.

Blaise Mouttet June 7, 2004

617/2004

AMSON NGUYEN